Breaking of replica symmetry — Another viewpoint of its emergence—

T. Nakajima and K. Hukushima

Dec. 9, 2009.

Introduction

Symmetry in disordered systems are often hidden. Replica Symmetry Breaking(RSB) — mainly discussed in mean-field spin glass model.

ex) Sherrington-Kirkpatrick model(fully connected spin-glass)

$$H = -\sum_{i < j}^{N} J_{ij}S_iS_j, \quad S_i = \pm 1, J_{ij} \sim N(0, 1/N)$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

The interaction causes

- frustration
- randomness

The Replica Method

 $E[\cdots]$ — expectation w.r.t. the randomness.(J_{ij})

Identity(unfamiliar form)

$$E[\log Z] = \lim_{n \to 0} \frac{1}{n} \log E[Z^n]$$

① Calculate
$$E[Z^n]$$
 for $n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$.

$$E[Z^n] = \operatorname{Tr}_1 \cdots \operatorname{Tr}_n E[\exp(-\beta \sum_{\alpha=1}^n H(\mathbf{S}^{\alpha}))]$$

$$= \operatorname{Tr}_1 \cdots \operatorname{Tr}_n \exp(-\mathcal{H}_{eff}(\mathbf{S}^1, \cdots, \mathbf{S}^n))$$

2 Continuate the result to $n \in \mathbf{R}$

One can expect that all replicas of the system(S^{α}) are equivalent... Replica symmetry(RS)

proposed symmetry breaking

Replica Symmetry Breaking(RSB) is introduced in a recursive manner.

- $I := \{1, 2, 3 \cdots, n\}$: set of replica index
 - 1) Divide the set *I* into n/m sets I_j with cardinality *m*.
 - ② Assume these two symmetries under permutation.
 - **1** permute indeces inside I_j .
 - 2 permute blocks I_j itself.
 - 3 If this saddle point is still unstable, go back to 1 with setting
 - $I = I_j$ and break them in the same way.

If we have to break the replicas into K hierarchy, the system is called $\ensuremath{\mathsf{K}}\xspace-\ensuremath{\mathsf{RSB}}\xspace$ system.



conventional analysis

ex)mean field Potts glass model

$$H = -\sum_{i < j} J_{ij} \delta_{\sigma_i,\sigma_j}, \quad \sigma_i = 1, 2, \cdots, p, \ J_{ij} \sim N(0, 1/N)$$

temperature	type of RSB
$T_{c1} < T$	RS holds
$T_{c2} < T < T_{c1}$	RS is instablized \Rightarrow 1RSB
$T < T_{c2}$	1RSB is instablized $\Rightarrow \infty$ -RSB



It seems that 1RSB is differnt from K-RSB $(K \ge 2)_{B^{*}} = 0$

type of RSB

Quite generally, systems often belong to one of the below three systems.

- RS no hierarchies (or one-level hierarchy)
- 1RSB two-level hierarchy
- ∞ -RSB infinite-level hierarchy

Question

Why do we rarely observe K-RSB ($2 \le K < \infty$)? or Is there any source of universality for 1RSB?

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

reconsideration on the replica method

What we really do in the replica calculation is...

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} E[\log Z] = \lim_{n \to 0} \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{Nn} \log E[Z^n]$$

Taking thermodynamic limit(saddle-point method).
 Performing "analytic" continuation of *n*.

a general lesson from statistical mechanics external field $h \rightarrow 0$ limit after thermodynamic limit can cause a lack of "analiticity", or "symmetry breaking."

 \Rightarrow Phase transition w.r.t. n may be significant for RSB!

statistical mechanical setup

one-parameter/pseudo-temperature extention of the free energy

$$E[\log Z] = \lim_{n \to 0} \frac{1}{n} \log E[Z^n] = \lim_{n \to 0} \frac{1}{n} \log E[\exp(-\beta nF)]$$

- *E*[···] Tr
- F Hamiltonian
- $1/(\beta n)$ temperature

Canonical statistical mechanics!

RS ansatz is correct for $n \gg 1$ (*i.e.* at low temperature in $1/\beta n$). Q. How RS is broken when lowering $n \rightarrow 0$? \Rightarrow Of course, one possibility is instability, but is there another?

Thermodynamics of the replica number n

There is thermodynamic constraints to the continuation of *n*. Def.

$$\phi(n) := -\frac{1}{\beta n} \log E[Z^n]$$

1. Energy and entropy is monotone increasing function of the temperature.

(monotonicity)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}n}\phi(n)\leq 0$$

2. Heat capacity is non-negative. (convexity)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}n^2}(n\phi(n)) \leq 0$$

These hold for all models.

 $T_{c_2} < T < T_{c1}$

mean field Potts glass model

$$H = -\sum_{i < j} J_{ij} \delta_{\sigma_i, \sigma_j}, \quad \sigma_i = 1, 2, \cdots, p, \ J_{ij} \sim N(0, 1/N)$$



When decreasing *n*, monotonicity is broken before instability occurs.

 \Rightarrow Monotonicity is relevant at $T_{c2} < T < T_{c1}!$



$T < T_{c2}$

mean field Potts glass model

$$H = -\sum_{i < j} J_{ij} \delta_{\sigma_i, \sigma_j}, \quad \sigma_i = 1, 2, \cdots, p, \ J_{ij} \sim N(0, 1/N)$$



When decreasing *n*, instability occurs before before monotonicity is broken.

 $\Rightarrow \mbox{ Instability is relevant at } \\ T < T_{c2}!$



< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

"phase diagram"



Relevance of instability and monotonicity is switched at T_{c2} .

useful proposition



Both are satisfied at the same time only when $\phi(n) = \phi(0)$ for $0 < n < n_m$. Rem. This proposition is valid for all models.

1RSB solution is derived!

Using this theorem at $T_{c2} < T < T_{c1}$, we get

$$E[F] = \phi(n_m)$$
 where $\phi'(n_m) = 0$.

Relation to 1RSB free energy

● n_m

- \rightarrow 1RSB block size *m*
- $\phi'(n_m) = 0$
 - \rightarrow saddle point equation of m
- monotonicity condition
 - \rightarrow saddle-point condition



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Summary 1 -What did we do?

- We perform the continuation w.r.t. n without conventional RSB scheme, but get the same result as 1RSB.
- The continuation is performed by a macroscopic condition, which is related to the thermodynamics.
- Thermodynamics of finite replica number is universal feature for the replicated systems.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

We can conclude that...

1RSB is actually universal because of the thermodynamics!

Summary 2 — What can we do using this?

It is possible to construct 1RSB free energy if conventional RSB theory does not work.

eg) spin-glass model on a random graph



This framework shold be applied to the finite-dimensional cases....